IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 828 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE N.G.NANDI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

@

MULJIBHAI PUNJABHAI

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 828 of 1989

MR PH PATHAK for Petitioner No. 1-3

Mr.L.R.Pujari, Asst.GOVERNMENT PLEADER for Respondent No. 1

MR JR NANAVATI for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE N.G.NANDI

Date of decision: 13/07/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

In this writ petition, the petitioners have been praying for relief of regularisation of their services with respondent No.2-Nagarpalika. It is stated by

Mr.J.R.Nanavaty, learned counsel for respondent No.2-Porbandar Nagarpalika that the services of the petitioners have been regularised during the pendency of this petition and none of the reliefs claimed in the petition survives. Mr.P.H.Pathak, learned advocate for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement made by the learned counsel for resondent No.2 this petition has become infructuous and be disposed of accordingly.

2. The petition is dismissed as infructuous. Rule is discharged. Interim relief granted earlier stands vacated. No order as to costs.

13.7.2001 (N.G.NANDI,J)
mty